File Number: 1075-CA

# DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY Original Application

As below named inventor, I declare that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in this Declaration, that the information given herein is true, that I believe that I am the original, first and joint inventor of the invention entitled:

# METHODS AND SYSTEMS FOR RAISING A NUMERICAL VALUE TO A FRACTIONAL POWER

which is described and cla	aimed in:			
the attached speci-	fication or			
_ the specification in	application Serial No		_ filed	, 199
that I acknowledge my du				
Section 1.56 and defined	on the attached sheet,	which is m	aterial to the	examination of
this application, that I do	not know and do not bel	ieve the sa	ame was eve	r known or
used in the United States	•			•
described in any printed p				
or more than one year pri				
or made the subject of an				
in any country foreign to t				
my legal representatives	•		•	• •
and that as to application				
representatives or assign				
earliest filed foreign applied this applies the applie				
this application and all for filing date of this applicati			weive monun	s phor to the
iming date of this applicati	on, il any, ale identined	Delow.		
CHECK APPROP	RIATE BOX:			
no earlier-filed fore	•	e e e		
	on as to foreign applicat		•	•
application is on pa	age attached hereto	and made	a part nereo	Г.
POWER OF ATTORNEY				
As a named inventor, I he		ng attorne	v(s) and/or a	gent(s) to
prosecute this application		-	• • •	• ' '
connected therewith.				
NAME REGISTRA	TION NO.			
Peter Rutkowski				
Dan A. Shifrin	34,473			

WSM 2836- P125US

### PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

Steven Lin	35,250
Scott Thomas	39,855
Frank D. Nguyen	39,790
James J. Murphy	34,503
Donoughue, Tim	46,668
Ehrlich, Henry L.	39,663
Garsson, Ross S.	38,150
Kordzik, Kelly K.	36,571
Mason, Dwayne	38,959
Newberger, Barry	41,527
Rogers, Charles J.	38,286
Schwartz, Richard L.	27,227
Shaddox, Robert C.	34,011
Warden, Thomas L.	47,165

## SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

James J. Murphy, Esq.

WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK

5400 Renaissance Tower

1201 Elm

Dallas, Texas 75270

## **DIRECT TELEPHONE CALLS TO:**

James J. Murphy

Phone: (214) 745-5374

Fax: (214) 745-5390

Winstead Sechrest & Minick's customer number is 23-2426.

(201) FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	LAST NAME Rao	FIRST NAME Raghunath	MIDDLE NAME	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY Austin	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY Texas, USA	COUNTRY OF CITIZEN India	ISHIP
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 9529 Sanford Drive	CITY Austin	STATE OR COUNTRY USA	ZIP CODE 78748
(202) FULL NAME OF INVENTOR	LAST NAME SUBRAMANIAM	FIRST NAME Girish	MIDDLE NAME	
RESIDENCE & CITIZENSHIP	CITY Austin	STATE OR FOREIGN COUNTRY Texas, USA	COUNTRY OF CITIZENSHIP India	
POST OFFICE ADDRESS	POST OFFICE ADDRESS 2309 Berkeley Avenue, Apt. 2309	CITY Austin	STATE OR COUNTRY USA	ZIP CODE 78745

WSM 2836- P125US

### PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

I further declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Name (203) Raghunath RAO	Signature, Raghmath Ras	Date  /25  I
Name (202) Girish SUBRAMANIAM	Signature Lines.	Date Jan. 25, 2001

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DALLAS\_1\3406714\1 233:2836-p125us

į.

#### PATENT APPLICATION

File Number: 1075-CA

### Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by Sections 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applications to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record of being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the application takes in:
      - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of patentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any considerations given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- © Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.

::ODMA\PCDOCS\DALLAS\_1\3406714\1

233:2836-125US